



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/314,058	05/18/1999	MICHAEL V. LEMAN	MICE-0026-US	4641

7590

01/05/2004

COE F MILES
TROP PRUNER HU & MILES PC
8554 KATY FREEWAY
STE 100
HOUSTON, TX 77024

EXAMINER

EISEN, ALEXANDER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2674

DATE MAILED: 01/05/2004

20

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/314,058

Applicant(s)

LEMAN, MICHAEL V.

Examiner

Alexander Eisen

Art Unit

2674

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 December 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 31-33,36,38,40 and 41 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 31-33,36,38,40 and 41 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _____ 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

1. The applicant's amendment after final rejection has been entered.
2. After the thorough consideration of amended claims, the finality of the last Office action has been withdrawn, and new grounds of rejection based on the reference previously cited but not relied upon follow.
3. Claims 31-33, 36, 38, 40 and 41 are pending in present application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 31-33, 36, 38, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lin, US 6,094,341 in view of Robbins, US 5,808,862.

With respect to claims 31, 32, 33 and 38 Lin discloses a computer system and method comprising a separate unit (case base 11 in FIG. 2) containing a keyboard, a first and a second displays (21 and 22), wherein the first and the second display are hingedly connected therebetween and one of the displays is hingedly connected to the base. As can be seen from FIG. 2, both the first and the second displays can be configured to face in first direction and can be folded onto the keyboard so that the second display (22) will be exposed.

Lin does not teach that the second display can be configured as a tablet and adapted to receive a pen-based input or that the first display is a "raster" type display.

Art Unit: 2674

Robbins discloses a convertible notebook computer (FIGS. 1-5), wherein the computer is provided with two modes, one as a regular notebook computer having a keyboard (22) and an LCD display (28), and in another mode as a digitizer, or pen-based input computer (compare to tablet), in which the display is folded over the keyboard and left exposed to receive pen-based input (as in FIG. 4; col. 3, lines 19-39).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time when the invention was made to modify the computer system of Lin by an improvement taught by Robbins and make and configure the second display of Lin as a pen-based input computer, because it could be used as a conventional paper notepad and positioned in any convenient and desirable writing orientation to user (Robbins; col. 3, lines 30-34).

As to claim 36, both Lin and Robbins teach computer systems, wherein base units comprise notebook, or laptop computers.

As to claim 40, the base unit is adapted to receive input from the keyboard (both Lin and Robbins teach keyboards).

As to claim 41, as can be seen from FIG. 2 of Lin, both displays can be folded over the keyboard so that the second display (22) is left exposed (see also abstract; col. 1, lines 35-44).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alexander Eisen whose telephone number is (703) 306-2988. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (9:00 a.m. - 4:00 p.m.).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard A. Hjerpe can be reached on (703) 305-4709.

Art Unit: 2674

Any response to this action should be **mailed to:**

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or **faxed to:**

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only).

Hand-delivered responses should be **brought to:** Crystal Park Two, 2121 Crystal Drive,
Arlington, Virginia, Sixth Floor Receptionist.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding
should be **directed to:** Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office, whose telephone
number is **(703) 306-0377**.



Alexander Eisen
December 29, 2003